

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.apto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/777,003	02/05/2001	David Baker	655-0012c	5644	
7	7590 08/21/2003				
SOFER & HAROUN, L.L.P. 317 Madison Avenue Suite 910			EXAMINER		
			NGUYEN, TANH Q		
New York, NY 10017			,		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2182	2.	
			DATE MAILED: 08/21/2003	2	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	pplic	cant(s)	\prec			
Office Action Summary		09/777,003		R ET AL.	O.			
		Examiner	Art Ur					
	•	1	2182	116				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Tanh Q. Nguyen pears on the cover		ondence address				
Period fo								
THE (- Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period verto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however y within the statutory minin will apply and will expire S e, cause the application to	rer, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be co IX (6) MONTHS from the mailin become ABANDONED (35 U.S	onsidered timely. g date of this communication .C. § 133).				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25.	<u>June 2003</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non-fir	al.					
3)□ Dispositi	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 19-44 is/are pending in the application	on.		•				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-44</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requiren	nent.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)🖾 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Examine	۲.						
10)🖾	The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 February 2001</u> is/are	e: a)⊠ accepted or	b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held	in abeyance. See 37 Cl	FR 1.85(a).				
11) 🗆 .	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)⊡ approve	d b)□ disapproved by	the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		on.					
12)[]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)□	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or	(f) .				
a)[☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been recei	ved.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been recei	ved in Application No.	·				
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).	s National Stage				
14)□ A	.cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a	provisional application	on).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	visional applicatio	n has been received.		,			
Attachment		-						
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 🗆	nterview Summary (PTO-41 Notice of Informal Patent Ap Other:					
J.S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Re		tion Summary	Part of P	aper No. 22				

Art Unit: 2182

DETAILED ACTION

Terminal Disclaimer

1. The terminal disclaimer filed on 06/25/03 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of USP 6,347,344 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Specification

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it exceeds 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 39-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 19 recites "a data streamer...configured to schedule simultaneous data transfers among a plurality of modules disposed within said multimedia processor" on lines 9-11. Claim 39, which depends on claim 19, recites "said plurality of modules among which said data streamer configures to schedule simultaneous data transfers include the external I/O devices, an external memory" on lines 1-3. Claim 41, which

depends on claim 39, recites "said plurality of modules among which said data streamer configures to schedule simultaneous data transfers include said first processor" on lines 1-3. Claims 28, 40 and 42 recite limitations that are similar to those of claims 19, 39, and 41.

The external I/O devices, the external memory and the first processor are not modules disposed within the multimedia processor - and as a consequence, the data streamer is **not** configured to schedule simultaneous data transfers among a plurality of modules disposed within the multimedia processor, the plurality of modules including the external I/O devices, an external memory, the cache memory and the first processor. The examiner interpreted that **the multimedia processor** <u>instead</u> is **configured to schedule simultaneous data transfers among the external I/O devices, an external memory, the cache memory and the first processor**.

- 5. Claim 40 recite the limitation "said second processor" in lines 3-4.
 - Claim 42 recite the limitation "said first processor" in line 3.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for the limitations in the above claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2182

- 7. Claims 19-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reader et al. (U. S. Pat. No. 6,192,073) in view of Kim (U.S. Pat. No. 5,926,187), and further in view of Kusters (U. S. Pat. No. 5,519,112).
- 8. <u>As per claim 19</u>, **Reader et al.** (Reader) teaches an integrated multimedia system [100, FIG. 1] having a multimedia processor [110, FIG.1/FIG.2] disposed in an integrated circuit, said system comprising:

a first host processor system coupled to said multimedia processor (col. 3, lines 25-26);

a second local processor [210, 220, FIG.2] disposed within said multimedia processor for controlling the operation of said multimedia processor (col. 5, lines 1-3);

a cache subsystem [230, FIG. 2] disposed within said multimedia processor and coupled to said second processor for transferring data in said multimedia processor;

a data streamer [245, FIG. 2 and FIG. 3] coupled to said cache subsystem, and configured to schedule simultaneous data transfers among a plurality of modules [, 210, 220, 258 FIG. 2; 120, FIG. 1] disposed within said multimedia processor in accordance with corresponding channel allocations (col. 4, lines 34-49; 8 channels, FIG. 52);

an interface unit [FBUS INTERFACE BLOCK, ASIC GLUE LOGIC AND DMA CONTROLLER, FIG. 52; MULTIMEDIA LIBRARY MODULE, FIG. 7] coupled to said data streamer [WDM Streaming Media, FIG. 7] having a plurality of I/O device driver units [MULTIMEDIA LIBRARY MODULE (MPEG, MODEM, AUDIO,...), FIG. 7]; and a plurality of external I/O devices [KS0122, KS0119, AD1843, FIGs. 6 and 52] coupled to said multimedia processor.

Art Unit: 2182

Reader also teaches the data streamer receiving the output of VP [220, FIG. 2] and the VP receiving the output of the data streamer (col. 5, lines 11-13; col. 5, lines 29-30); and the scalar processor [210, FIG. 2] receiving the output of the data streamer (col. 5, lines 15-16) and the data streamer receiving the output of the scalar processor (col. 5, lines 23-27), therefore teaches simultaneous transfers between the data streamer and the scalar processor, and between the data streamer and the VP, through the cache subsystem memory.

Kim teaches a multimedia processor [200, FIG. 2] that is similar to Reader's multimedia processor [110, FIG. 2]; and in particular, the components of the cache subsystem [230, FIG. 2] comprising a control circuit [280, FIG. 2], a ROM cache [270, FIG. 2], data caches [264, 268, FIG. 2] and instructions caches [262, 266, FIG. 2].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Kim and Reader because they are both directed to the same multimedia processor, with each of the references claiming a different aspect of the same multimedia processor. The combination of Kim with Reader, therefore, additionally teaches:

a data transfer switch [Kim: 280, FIG. 2] disposed within said multimedia processor and coupled to said second processor for transferring data to various modules [in particular Kim: 246, 262, 264, 266, 268, 270, FIG. 2] of said multimedia processor; and

a data streamer [246, FIG. 2] coupled to said data transfer switch, and configured to schedule simultaneous data transfers among a plurality of modules disposed within

Art Unit: 2182

said multimedia processor, at least one of which is a cache memory [262, 264, 266, 268, 270, FIG. 2], in accordance with corresponding channel allocations.

The combination of Kim with Reader does not teach a multiplexer coupled to the interface for providing access between a selected number of I/O device driver units to external I/O devices via output pins.

Kusters teaches a method that uses a multiplexer [30, FIG. 1] coupled to a computer system [8, FIG.1] for providing access between a selected number of I/O device driver units [38a,..,38n, FIG.1] and external I/O devices [32a,..,32n] via output pins (parallel I/O port, Abstract).

Kusters further teaches the above method not being limited to any particular computer, single chip processor or apparatus; and also teaches a specialized apparatus to perform the methods above (col. 8, lines 4-14). Kusters, therefore, teaches a multiplexer being usable with a single chip processor; such multiplexer being either on the same chip as the processor, or external to the processor.

Kusters, therefore, teaches the claimed invention except for the particulars of the single chip processor, and except for a first host processor system coupled to the single chip processor.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to couple Kusters' multiplexer to Reader's multimedia processor interface unit (such multiplexer being either internally or externally disposed on the multimedia processor) for the purpose of providing access between a selected number of I/O device driver units among a plurality of I/O device driver units to external devices

Application/Control Number: 09/777,003 Page 7

Art Unit: 2182

via a limited number of output pins, such combination also enabling multiple devices to be used simultaneously for a same set of pins.

9. <u>As per claims 20-25, 27</u>, Kusters teaches external I/O devices being controlled by a corresponding one of the I/O device driver units (Abstract: lines 11-12).

Reader teaches one of the external devices being a video decoder [Video A/D: Video Out, FIGs. 4, 5] and one the external devices being a video encoder [Video A/D: Video In, FIGs. 4, 5], with NTSC encoder/decoder being well known in the art at the time the invention was made for video encoders and decoders;

one of the external devices being a modem [MSP, FIG. 7]; with a transport channel interface being well known in the art at the time the invention was made for modems; and

three dimensional graphic signal [DirectX (3D), FIG. 7]; and an audio CODEC [114, FIG. 1].

- 10. As per claim 26, the combination above does not teach one of the external devices being an ISDN interface. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that ISDN represents one of the design choices for an external I/O device for the purpose of communicating data between the multimedia processor and other communications media.
- 11. As per claims 28-36, see the rejections to claims 19-27 above.

Art Unit: 2182

As per claims 37- 44, Reader and Kim teaches a cache memory [Kim: 230, 262, 264, 266, 268, 270, FIG. 2] directly coupled to the second local processor [Kim: 210, 220, FIG. 2] and the data transfer switch [Kim: 280, FIG. 2];

the multimedia processor scheduling simultaneous data transfers (Kim: col. 4, lines 18-19) among the external I/O devices [Reader: KS0122, KS0119, AD1843, FIGs. 6 and 52], an external memory [Reader: 120, FIG. 1], the cache memory [Kim: 230, 262, 264, 266, 268, 270, FIG. 2] coupled to the second local processor [Kim: 210, 220, FIG. 2], and the first processor (col. 3, lines 25-26); and the data transfer switch further comprising a plurality of buses [Kim: bus connections to 262, 264, 266, 268, 270, and buses 240, 250, FIG. 2].

- 13. Claims 19-44 are alternatively rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reader et al. (U. S. Pat. No. 6,192,073) in view of Kim (U. S. Pat. No. 5,926,187).
- 14. <u>As per claim 19</u>, Kim further teaches a multiplexer [515, FIG. 5] coupled to the interface unit [251, FIG. 1] for providing access between a selected number of I/O device driver units (col. 6, line 30-col. 7, line 8) to external I/O devices [110, 130, 150, FIG. 1] via output pins (col. 6, lines 10-29).

It would have been further obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Kim and Reader because they are both directed to the same multimedia processor, with each of the references claiming a different aspect

Art Unit: 2182

of the same multimedia processor, and because Kim's aforementioned teachings would allow the multiplexer to implement protocol for accessing different devices.

15. As per claims 20-44, Kim further teaches external I/O devices being controlled by a corresponding one of said I/O device driver units (col. 6, line 30-col. 7, line 8); one of the external devices being a NTSC decoder [col. 3, lines 7-8; 110, FIG. 1] and one the external devices being a NTSC encoder [col. 3, lines 7-8, 130, FIG. 1]; one of the external devices being an audio CODEC [150, FIG.1]; modem communications (col. 3, line 11) and modem software to demodulate data (col. 3, lines 45-47).

Response to Arguments

- 16. Applicant's arguments filed 06/25/03 have been fully considered, but they are not persuasive.
- 17. Applicant argued that Reader teaches the bitstream processor 245 receiving data from vector coprocessor 220 via IOBUS 240 and cache subsystem 230, and transfers an operation result to scalar processor (CPU 210) via IOBUS 240 and cache subsystem 230, and that Reader does not teach the bitstream processor coupled to the FBUS 250, and thus Reader's bitstream processor is not coupled to device interface 252 coupled with external devices, PCI Bus interface 255 and the memory controller 258 to schedule simultaneous transfers.

Applicant's argument is not persuasive because Reader's bitstream processor [245, FIG. 2] is **coupled** to the FBUS through the control circuit [Kim: 280, FIG. 2] of the

Art Unit: 2182

cache subsystem [Reader: 230, FIG.2; Kim: 230, FIG. 2], and is therefore not distinguishable from the claimed invention. The combination of Reader and Kim, therefore, supports the limitation "a data streamer [246, FIG. 2] coupled to said data transfer switch, and configured to schedule simultaneous data transfers among a plurality of modules disposed within said multimedia processor, at least one of which is a cache memory, in accordance with corresponding channel allocations" and "an interface unit coupled to said data streamer having a plurality of input/output (I/O) device driver units".

18. Applicant further argued that the data streamer of the present invention is capable of scheduling multiple simultaneous data transfers between **all the components of the multimedia processor, including the memory controller 124 and the interface unit 202**; and that Reader teaches vector coprocessor 220, not bitstream processor 245, transferring data via FBUS 250 to external memory coupled with the device interface 252 or memory controller 259.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the data streamer of the present invention is capable of scheduling multiple simultaneous data transfers between all the components of the multimedia processor, including the memory controller 124 and the interface unit 202) are not recited in the claims (the memory controller and the interface unit are not recited to be components of the multimedia processor). Although the claims are interpreted in light of

Art Unit: 2182

the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

The argument that Reader teaches vector coprocessor 220, not bitstream processor 245, transferring data via FBUS 250 to external memory coupled with the device interface 252 or memory controller 259 is therefore misplaced because the argument was used to distinguish the references from features that were not claimed.

Conclusion

19. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

20. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tanh Quang Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-0138, and whose e-mail address is tanh.nguyen36@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

Art Unit: 2182

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin, can be reached on (703) 308-3301. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306 for After Final, Official, and Customer Services, or (703) 746-5672 for Draft to the Examiner (please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Any inquiry of a general nature relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Mail responses to this action should be sent to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D. C. 20231

Faxes for formal communications intended for entry should be sent to:

(703) 308-9051,

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, Va, Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

TQN

August 14, 2003

KIM HUYNH PRIMARY EXAMINER 8/18/13